

	<b>ISGQ-2003-M0004666 (in progress)</b>
Male 1	<p>200 kilograms for testing purposes, but you don't use 37 tons, we kept telling them about the mistakes that might happen, or maybe Health Ministry...they see two situations, Sir.</p> <p>They see one situation as precise and suitable, and another with mistakes. But when we discuss the mistakes, they say it's not a problem, by God you know so much, and are so precise, you disassemble and assemble the machine so easily in hours? You guys developed this huge military program with your own capabilities and nobody helped you? Is it possible to make a mistake by bringing 36-37 Tons, you guys know what it's used for!</p> <p>The Biological issue, as I told your Highness in Tikrit, defending the biological issue is not convincing, not convincing, but we are insistent, they are not convincing to our Group.</p> <p>Are the French and the Russians going to keep quite if Ekeus drags his case, which is delaying and surrounding? According to the information I have, the answer is, NO!</p> <p>Sir, the French Foreign Minister Alain Juppe when he arrived in New York September of last year and before the Kuwaiti events and the troops deployment, he said that Ekeus told him a year ago that he was ready! And six months ago he told me he is ready! And two weeks ago he told me he is ready! And now he has to say what he is supposed to say. Before I met him he stated this, so what does this mean?</p> <p>This means that in the Fall of 1994 France was ready to pressure Ekeus and tell him; enough! You are working the monitoring, tell us already whether the monitoring has begun or is finished?</p> <p>The Russians, as Your Highness know, they send us a person who sat with us and we gave him all the required information, and they were using them to pressure Ekeus.</p> <p>And if Russia and France intend to pressure Ekeus and end the files this way! But they are convinced and especially the French, according to 'Amir's information and conclusions that the Biological information are basically French, which means some French insiders are the ones who told the Special Commission that the Iraqi Biological Program is broader than the Special Commission thinks!</p> <p>On this issue Sir, we should know who our friend and allies are, and where to meet them and not meet with them.</p> <p>On the Weapons of Mass Destruction issue, all the big countries have one position, which means Russia's position in the spread of the Nuclear Weapons Treaty is like the American's position.</p> <p>Kozaric came to the region to persuade the Egyptians and the Syrians and others to sign the Treaty notwithstanding Israel's position, I think even if Breshnev was here, their position will be the same.</p> <p>The big countries don't want any country but themselves; the club that monopolized the advanced technologies and the advanced weapon don't want other country to have it. This is the truth, at the end they don't sympathize with</p>

	<p>us when we want to make Chemical or Biological weapon. The presence of a resolution means there is a system, and his position is just like the Westerners. In the Chemical Weapon Protocol, Shevardnadze, was still Soviet Union, I told him “Brother Shevardnadze, here is Israel they have Nuclear Weapon, and you are running after me for the Chemical, OK don’t you believe in balancing?” he said “I do believe in balancing, but we have to get rid of the Chemical weapons” keep it by you, and surely if he wants to wipe it out, it is not expected from him to let Iraq have weapons. But Iraq can keep them!</p> <p>So in this issue Sir, France-Russia-UK-USA, their position is one on the Biological issue, but there is a difference in the French and Russian’s position from the American’s aspect, political, and that is: when the Frenchman is done with this issue he will tell you “ I have nothing to do with you, that is it we are done”.</p> <p>So we have to find a solution for this issue, I am sure, and it’s not my nature to repeat or use the word sure without risk! If we ended the Biological file, Russia &amp; France &amp; China will present their Resolution plan to the Security Council. Sir, they did present it already, did we put pressure? We didn’t pressure them! It’s true we demanded and induced them, but I didn’t catch the French and stepped on him and told him you have to present a resolution! But they reached a conclusion that they have to propose the issue of enforcing article 22.</p> <p>And if we found a solution? I think they will propose the issue of enforcing article 22, Resolution plan, where is this plan going to reach? Is it going to be Vetoed by the Americans? Is it going to be compromised? This is another issue.</p> <p>But these countries, the three permanent members including Germany, and Italy, I think they are going in the same direction, they are going to support the issue of lifting the sanctions against Iraq. And the issue remains the hypocritical American political position, and to some degree the British. Until now the British officially, openly and publicly stood with the United States. I don’t know if I told the Comrade Foreign Minister to translate precisely an article from the Economist that came out last week?</p> <p>The Economist is a British Media Establishment, and is the summary of the British’s brain analysis. The Economist talks about Iraq and about the regime and it hates the regime and at the end it says “ Lift the Economic Sanctions against Iraq, don’t extend it? You Americans failed!” And this magazine is not Iraq’s friend, which means they are not with the group of Edward Heath and Tony Ben! The brain demagogues of the British Colonialists published it.</p> <p>Ekeus, a devious or an agent or not, I don’t think we should waste time with the Ekeus issue. Ekeus was appointed in 1991. And in 1991, he was the American dominant element on the World, and the United Nation’s Institutions. And of course when the CIA were looking for one, they submitted suggestions to the American President, whether the list of names and maybe he was one of them and they told the President here is the best one!</p> <p>But as we said before, Ekeus' role as an agent is not to be revealed, there are agents for example David Kay, in 1991, I announced in a press conference “He</p>
--	---

	<p>is a CIA” and they said “no he is not”. But he came out and said, “I am a CIA and American”.</p> <p>But Ekeus, if proven to be a CIA operative with tangible evidence, he is finished, he is not going to be finished as a person, all the work he did will be finished in the French’s eyes and the Russians and the Germans...etc. He should play rope he should finish and not fall. He has the maneuvering margin and delaying margin, with time passing by it is getting narrower and narrower until he reaches his limits. There are eyes on him; the French and the Russians have their eyes on Ekeus, Sir. True it is not the way we wish it, but they have their eyes on him, which means if he goes the extreme way or the vicious way with no legal or technical finishes, they won’t let him, they will talk to him privately, more than they tell us, because they don’t want us to be soft on insuring the Special Commission’s requirements.</p> <p>I have no doubt, if we find some kind of solution for the Biological issue, then the French and Russians Resolution plan will on the Security Council’s table, and the political battle will start, what will the results be? This is another subject.</p> <p>I was able to evaluate the results, as I can assess the positions, and that is America will resist and UK will support America greatly. Germany and Italy will hesitate, which means it is going to be like we say in colloquial Arabic [Inaudible] in the Security Council about this position.</p> <p>Any improvements in the other files, will help, it doesn’t mean it isn’t going to help, it will help, but complicated, it means if there was no improvements in the assets or on the missing issues, is great, it’s not going to be a primary obstacle. Of course the Americans and British will take advantage of it, but it’s not going to be a main obstacle, because the wording in it is very clear.</p> <p>Until now, until now, the Russians &amp; the French were arguing with the Americans, and sometimes their arguments reached the level of screaming at each other. It’s as clear as I mentioned in the last meeting, the Russians and the French are coordinating with us in New York openly, but they are not going to clash with the Americans, they are not going to enter in a battle at least until now, I think they told us this, they told us this directly and we felt it through their behavior. Just as their position on resolution 986, which means they worked 10 days to obstruct and delay and modify the resolution and at the end they choose not to clash with the USA, they went on for the reasons of not having a split.</p> <p>This is an introduction for our discussion, if your Highness sees it suitable.</p>
--	---

Male 2	<p>There are two methods, now we combined the Technical part with the political part. There are two methods, either we start questioning and explaining, and discuss the information and the general analysis and a constructive intakes, or we will say thank you to the persons in question. And we discuss as Command and later as the Revolutionary Command Council discuss the issue to make a decision, or to settle at the political part and just lay the foundation and after that its filling will have some kind of gaps, so we have to decide now how to start the discussion. I prefer they bring the technicians, who are almost politicians, they include 'Amir Rashid who became almost politician lately and became.... so the picture becomes clear. The questioning, arguments, have to be clear and then we tell them Thank You. We will discuss it in the Revolutionary Command Council.</p> <p>They also came up with a new story, the story related to destroying and transferring Machines that are related to the Missiles Department, which means not in the Biological Department, and Ekeus postponed his visit to buy time, so the Missile issue is hanging in the air, and to gain time, and use the pended Biological issue to destroy other weapons.</p>
Male 3	<p>Sir, we want a question to be asked to Lt. General 'Amir, to their knowledge how much destruction do they expect the UNSCOM to ask for? Is this going to be the last demand in the Missiles file? Or do they expect new thing to come out later? I want expectations built on information.</p>
Male 2	<p>I told you during the discussions</p>
Male 3	<p>Because this story is not new you remember Sir, there was a memorandum issued on December about these machines, project 728, this memorandum was issued on December 18th, the first one was issued by Lt. General Husayn, and your Honor ordered a meeting to take place between myself, Lt. General 'Amir and Lt. General Husayn and then submit a report to you.</p> <p>We couldn't reach Husayn, you know sometimes he...where do we call him? Is he present? Or not present? You commented then, and I received a directive: the comrades should notice how to deal with this issue from the advancement angle in the political position.</p> <p>Ekeus ignored the issue all previous months, and I think it was last January I warned him about the destruction term. I told him "I warn you, Ekeus, don't submit your demands, complete your job and bring me the final list that you have in mind, after we discuss it logically, then we will make a final decision, don't submit your demand?" and he delayed the demand, if this is the last demand for the Missiles field? It is one thing, and if it's a part of a chain that's another issue Sir. If there is a possibility of destruction in the Chemical field we want to know so we know how much? If there are demands in the Nuclear area so we know? Also in front of the public! Lets assume this is the last demand. And then we enter a War. Can we convince the Russians and the</p>

	French and ask them: “starting a war with Ekeus for three machines is one thing, and to start a war on a large section of advanced Iraqi Technology”, it is suitable now the Comrades give us their estimation based on information received. The issues of destruction Sir, the demands that come from Ekeus, don't come out of the blue. The inspecting team comes and looks at the machines, and say: “oh, what are those machines, they are dangerous,” they look at it.
Male 2	He has been looking at them for five years, the inspection teams are looking at the machines in all fields without hiding, and it has been five years.
Male 3	Yes, but project 1728, long time ago [inaudible]
Male 2	Yes that is months, not years!
Male 3	That means they denied all 99. They launched 11 or 110 machines they let operate [Inaudible] but outside the post, and five or 115 equipments are in the project --where did they get this information? Now they are free, can the commission use it freely?
Male 2	Then, 10 minutes break, and then we count on God
Male 1	God help the youth, protect the youth, how are the people you saw in Salah Al-Din?
Male 2	Sir, I was just now telling the guys, the simple estimation is fifty thousand armed
Male 1	Yes, it's a great idea.
Male 2	The weapons from Al-Hamrah and Al-Qadimah And Al-Dawrah
Male 1	Machine guns
Male 2	There was a demonstration, religious scholar

Male 1	Did you see him? This is a religious scholar! Not like others.
Male 2	We found out he is not from Salah Al-Din but from Kirkuk! He came from Kirkuk! He was very cooperative with me, we also saw an armed female carrying a Birno..[Inaudible]
Male 1	Where their foreign media present?
Male 2	Yes, they were very interested on this clip, [Inaudible]. On the youth [Inaudible]
Male 1	They were, welcome Lt. General 'Amir, there was a clip at Fida'yeen Saddam, very nice kids carrying weapons and parading. Those kids are very important, they are the example for psychological and educational improvement for other kids their age watching TV, and it has great reactions.
Male 2	Sir, the celebrations became a new style.
Male 1	The Iraqi usually like challenging
Male 2	The decision prepared them, they became prepared to breathe in the Christmas holidays [Inaudible]
Male 3	It means, the family, public, personal celebrations, and the tribal celebrations I don't see them but for themselves, whether they were in the cities or villages or even in the Streets
Male 4	I mean with the sanction and poverty, food is still available, young and old men as well as women were there marching, all ages, it was a beautiful thing
Male 1	Was anything sent abroad?
Male 3	Yes Sir, and all the foreign media showed them [Inaudible], the embarrassing thing that happened is that we gave I can say all the dangerous stages, because they took everything there [Inaudible]
Male 1	Yes, these are very important!
Male 3	About a month ago
Male 1	Did you distribute them as a program?
Male 3	We distributed the work; you and your group are in charge in Al-Nasiriyah, and you are in charge of such area, I mean all Iraq [Inaudible]. I told them there is a shortage in the report's notebook. The first, second and third winner will have a reward, I told them the company or office will present an account or some of them [Inaudible], also the foreign media and if they are

	not present [Inaudible] CNN even BBC those who hate us.
Male 4	We wanted them to record everything, because it was armored, an hour and a half. Half of the marchers were running and some were walking, half an hour and they were armed. Hour and a half only from one province and people were armed, they showed 45 minutes of the march, they were timed for the media, I told them its OK, Mr. President [Inaudible], they came the second, third and fourth day.
Male 1	No, its affection is not going to go away.
Male 4	Sir, in the foreign Media, I saw CNN and I thought I will see Bush's picture that why [Inaudible] in the Fida'yeen Saddam's marching, and in a great technical way.
Male 5	Now Sir, there is a challenging characteristic
Male 1	It's a nationalistic motive, it merged with the occasion, the position and the challenge and the blockade.
Male 6	Our march was armed for one hour almost, human masses and especially in the villages and the centers and townships [Inaudible]....
Male 2	<p>To manufacture a missile it should be [Inaudible] to confront the weather and the winds and so on, so there should be two jet fighters and they withdrew [Inaudible]. They didn't bring up the issue. I kept bringing up the issue among ourselves, I spoke with Lt. General 'Amir and he said it was not mentioned to us.</p> <p>I told the Russian ambassador, and he told me both of us maybe they didn't fly them with you.</p> <p>What I am trying to say Sir is: if a person can do things like that? We have to sit down and tell him. They promised us in the past, they promised Mr. Tariq. They did something not bad at all, but it's like paving the road 1/2 way instead of working with us all the way. Sir if it's true that they agree to work with us seriously, on this framework then the political conflict will be lighter.</p> <p>We will get rid of such knife and dagger in the hand of the Special Commission's representative. The political conflict will be in our side and we will gain a lot Sir.</p> <p>I think our methods are depleted, we did our best, so we have to find an additional way to deal with the Special Commission and Ekeus. Otherwise Sir they are going to strengthen more politically, because the technical excuses are decreasing. This is why the last report he presented, the French and the Russians were supposed to wait to present their resolution plan. He included in it 18 queries, 18 queries, true some of it looks formal and small, but the result is was 18 new queries in all fields starting from the small one. If he saw the political situation and the pressure on him, are not the orders that he received. The demand from him is a small issue, which is made big? Then he will make it</p>

	<p>big. He possibly will make it big Sir.</p> <p>So we have to change the work method with them adding substantially with those people who agreed to network their interest with us from now on, Sir.</p> <p>The other thing Sir, the Russians and the French talked about article 22, and they decided that Ekeus should present his final report.</p> <p>Part of the pressure, and comrade Tariq very nicely put the idea in a letter to Kozeric. Sir, the one you agreed upon. Now politically we have to lay down this tactic Sir! And that is: until when are we going to wait for Ekeus? And the story about the previous programs probably will never end?</p> <p>I suggest Sir that this kind of serious discussion should take place not only with the Russians. In my opinion the discussion should take place with the Chinese, the French, and with the Indonesians and even with the people whom didn't side with us, because the results are that sometimes we talk to two or three countries and we think that those countries will keep the discussion secret! It appears that those countries exchange information and talk amongst each other. We made it clear Sir during our previous communication that we kept our secret with the Indonesians, but we found out that the Indonesians talked about it with the Botswanans, the British and the Nigerians. I suggest Sir that our secret on tactic is to tell the truth on the issue.</p> <p>As long as we talk to the Russians, and instead of Kozeric goes and tell Christopher, we won't know in what way? Let's propose it as escalated.</p> <p>You order us in a timely matter, and the escalation should be timely, if we agree with the Russians and the French to surround Ekeus. The escalation will be very logical! And that is "from here he is technically surrounded" And the other side we will say "what? Why are they forcing us to a story that has no end with the allegations of previous programs?"</p> <p>Escalation, Sir if it's calculated, I think I will need it very much I mean very much!</p> <p>Sir, this thing is being supported by one last point.</p> <p>Some of the permanent members especially the Russians and Chinese, whenever we talk to them, they tell us "it will help us if you improve your relations with the Arab Countries."</p> <p>So I suggest Sir, also, a diplomatic attack, and a real diplomatic campaign on some of the Arab countries! Because of a partial keys to this issue, it seems Sir as long as the Russians, Chinese, French, maybe even when Chirac speaks that means they didn't gain a lot from the aggression on Iraq.</p> <p>They gamble on, whenever Iraq improves its relation with the Arab brothers it makes it easier for them to be closer to us. There is not much sensitivity!</p> <p>It is like we are helping those who are cooperating with us when we improve our relations with the Arab countries, so I think Sir this issue should be supported very much, thank you Sir.</p>
Male 1	Lt. General 'Amir
Male 3	Sir, if you allow me to comment on Ekeus issue or his position or the countries' position, because Lt. General Husayn and Mr. Al-Sahaf talked about it.



	<p>Sir, I will try to give my opinion, of course it might be wrong. Ekeus, has a beneficial part and unbeneficial one.</p> <p>The positives are: as Mr. Foreign Minister said, he placed a game plan with us, which I consider, if we proceed in accordance with Article 22 he will be forced to implement. The game plan started getting clearer during our technical visit to New York on September 1993. It is natural that he went out of the contents of the issue and expanded it and digressed it, but the game plan still remains by the International Community and the members of the Security Council, and we are proceeding with the same game plan, so we can defeat the political plan that he is trying to achieve.</p> <p>Sir, lets assume this is the game plan that he is playing with and talking about. He is up to a lot of bad things, but we are trying according to those game plans to stop his plans.</p> <p>Those game plans have so much credibility by the Members of the Security Council and the countries in question especially the countries that we consider our friends.</p> <p>Truly to exit those game plans is close to, I don't want to say impossible, but very difficult.</p> <p>Sir, I want to comment on this issue in details, for example we were wishing to talk about the Biological issue? Or about any issue with the Russians or with the French, so they can help us on Ekeus. I am talking to you on the technical aspect of the technical game plan, not the political aspect.</p> <p>In reality, all the Russians did, was to bring their delegates to the International Symposium Conference that Ekeus organized in New York, which the French attended.</p> <p>Sir, we contacted all our channels and all contacts and insisted a lot, and I do insist on these issues thru all available channels that we have, and that is; we talk to the French whom were in the International Committee so we explain to them our position. The French didn't allow us! Sir, to be clear, they considered that was within the authorities of the Special Commission. Based on that the French are dealing with the technical game plans to implement resolution 22, in the technical part and not on the political part, dealing thru the Special Commission.</p> <p>They don't want to create a channel on their perspective with the Iraqi side to make clear the truth on Technical issue.</p> <p>You remember Sir; we reached a level to meet them outside France! In Tunisia, Amman or Baghdad to New York we went!!! The French technicians didn't meet us. This is about France.</p> <p>The Russians met us, when we went to Russia they listened to us, but the result was, when they came to the International Symposium they supported Ekeus! All of them.</p> <p>Sir, truth hurts when I say this, but to clarify things. Our decision will be based on correct data. Ekeus gained credibility close to 100% among the members of the Security Council including Russia and France, which means any move to abort Ekeus in his technical issue not on his political goals.</p> <p>Truly we are entering an entrance that has no result at all! And Sir when we</p>
--	--

	<p>were meeting with Members of the Security Council, the whole main point was, we were implementing the article 22, so you guys as Security Council have to tell Ekeus to implement article 22 too? And all of them including the Russian and Chinese whom didn't want to enter the Game Plan, they all said that "you guys have to satisfy Ekeus and implement article 22".</p> <p>Sir, so no matter what we do I feel in the Technical issue the door is closed. So we have to play with Ekeus to complete the quarter that we went thru in this Game, as for the Political side, we open and force him to implement article 22. As for Members of the Security Council, the Deputy Prime Minister Tariq met with the Members of the Security Council they told him what Ekeus Wants? But especially myself, I explained to the members of the Security Council 10 of them, except USA and UK and those three weak countries Botswana, Honduras, Rwanda, the 10, I taught them by words exactly what to ask Ekeus. I told him ask Ekeus the following question: tell him "I don't care about the Technical question and there are 18 queries or this or that, is there anything that has a political value or technical value in the missiles file?" don't ask him other thing, just ask him about the political value within the article 22. And you will see Ekeus will answer you. He also has commitments, and what is in him is not like what is published out, and he will answer you No, there is no thing left with Technical or political value.</p> <p>Sir, this question they didn't let them ask him, which I taught it to 10 of the Members of the Security Council.</p> <p>The only question that was asked was about the effect on the security and stability and that was is there anything left on that issue, only 2 or 3 asked this question not all of them!</p> <p>Sir, I taught him what to ask about the Chemical! And in the Biological I told them this issue we will solve so please ask Ekeus the following: "Mr. Ekeus, from your experience with the Iraqis, and solving the problems that are existing, do you see in the horizon solving the biological problem with Iraq within the coming weeks?"</p> <p>And you will see he will answer you yes. And I guarantee you Sir if Ekeus was asked these questions by the SC members? He would've answered the way were expecting, honestly speaking. Not because he loves us, he can't, because the game plans that he started, he told me himself, if he will be asked this kind of questions I will be obligated to answer the correct answers. So he can't maneuver, but they subjected to Ekeus's plan, and Ekeus became God forbid like.... whatever he says the SC members will follow, including Russia, France, china, Indonesia, Nigeria all our friends.</p> <p>We say it with pain but this is the truth, that why exiting the game plans in the last periods that started in 1993, is like we are not going to finish this trip, meanwhile if we continue this with political pressure, including the results we so far, and we will promise to continue finishing the Biological and part of the Missiles program, and Chemical and Technical and Informational, then he will be forced to implement article 22.</p> <p>Sir, contacting the French, I summarize it including the Russians to pass Ekeus in the Technical issue, honestly are not resulted good, because I tried them with</p>
--	---

	<p>all the countries in question, and I am saying it because I contacted all of them, and are saying, that they persuaded Ekeus. And when we tell them we are cooperating with Ekeus to solve the problem, and the French which my relation is very positive with him he said “ now I am comfortable” they feel comfortable when we tell them we are cooperating with Ekeus. And I said it in May 1994 and I repeat it today, France’s position in WMD, is Ekeus’s position. And when we met France’s ambassador who was the head of the SC on May, he gave the same position as Ekeus.</p>
Male1	<p>You can open both of them and the whole door will open, turn the air-conditioning, this thing about the Technical Game Plans. What is in the Horizon that you are going to work on and ends the issue, and will let Ekeus say nothing but completed?</p>
Male 3	<p>Sir, if we take the files one by one, in the missile file there is nothing left with value. Lets use these terms, because there are always points, and he is very capable to create issue and ask more questions continuously. There is no value; he can’t convince the SC neither the SC could question it. But if they ask him he will answer Yes has no value. This about the destroying if it was finalized, this about the Missile File. Sir.</p> <p>About the Chemical File, he was asked about a report on Chemical file, and he presented it, and we gave him a report that matched his Game Plans, now they sent to him a Delegation which will meet him on May-13, there is an obstacle in an article called Materialistic leveling in the previous Chemical file, in my expectation we can cooperate with this issue and finalize it</p>
Male1	<p>What is the Materialistic Leveling?</p>
Male 3	<p>Sir, it is like we said this much we imported Chemical Material. And we have this much Chemical Ammunitions. So the amount has to be correct, and the scale should be exact. If this factory receives this much Chemical Material, when the Ammunitions come out they match the Material that went in. in their point of view, if the number is different then Iraq maybe miscalculated or is hiding.</p> <p>This issue we have been working with them a long time, and our experts and scientists are very qualified, but there was a mistake in a number 8% and we explained it to them and what was the reason, and you Sir will be busy with the Revolutionary Command council, and we have to finalize it within this coming week or next, the Chemical issue truly we have it in control very good.</p> <p>Nuclear too very good.</p> <p>Biological, we need a talk with your highness if there is time, we have to solve it, if we can solve Biology it will take sometime too, maybe 2 Months or longer shorter, we have to solve it this way or another.</p> <p>My expectation is if we move on these issues and continue with this period of</p>

	<p>the Game Plans, he will be in a serious position with a continuous political activity, sorry to say he is killing us, but with this political activity and the Game Plans, so with supporting both these two activities he will be forced to pay whatever he promised since 1993. I think our political position will be very strong.</p> <p>Without it Sir, honestly our political position will be weak, to demand the implementation article 22. And this especially depend on France's position, exactly France is Ekeus! This is my point of view.</p>
Male 1	We are still on the same subject, in your estimation what left 8 Months to a year, 95 will guarantee this issue
Male 3	<p>Sir, let me put it this way, we, in the Technical basis that he is going to adopt to delay article 22, are going to be very weak, the political work that is suggested with France Russia and other countries, it is going to be effective and will get the final results. Without finalizing the Technical issues, the political work will be in my opinion paralyzed.</p> <p>Because the basis are weak.</p>
Male 1	We started talking about plans that Ekeus laid? And we were able to according to those plans to reach a result with him. Which means we don't give him any reason to continue the political Game. Isn't this what you mean?
Male 3	Yes, exactly Sir.
Male 1	Now, lets say he found out that we have 15 or 17 Tons of the Agricultural biological, and there is no answer to satisfy Ekeus. And they are all related to the previous program. Because monitoring is going on now, so any new thing, he will discover it. And monitoring he fought for it and we rejected it, and then we said let it be Monitors. Which means the monitoring will finalize any fear in the future, present and the future. Isn't this what monitoring means
Male 3	Yes Sir.
Male 1	<p>So the whole discussion is about the previous programs, are the previous programs, which are before monitoring, are the Iraqi answers on them precise or not precise? This enters within the Technical Game Plans.</p> <p>And now he raised the biological issue. What is going to prevent him from raising the Chemical? On the same basis of the Plans.</p>
Male 3	If you excuse me Sir,
Male 1	<p>Let me talk in details, the 17 Tons you didn't answer him a satisfactory answer. Which is related to the previous programs, this doesn't mean that these 17 Tons are maybe hidden! I don't think so. Then what is preventing you and comrade Tariq before you came, he said we for sure produced Biological, means Biological weapons.</p> <p>So he is really looking in the previous programs.</p> <p>I am telling you, that not all your answers to Ekeus about the Chemical are correct and precise. You gave him numbers to satisfy him, and it seems until now he is satisfied with the total. But at the same time I know that America is looking to prove our use of chemicals against Iran! And we in fact did use Chemical on the Iranians. And we didn't answer them that we used Chemical on the Iranians.</p>

	<p>So in all your programs that you present in Chemical there still will be a gap, and whenever he wants to raise it he can raise it with what's called Leveling, the one you talked about. Between the imported data and the weapons produced and the destroyed, there is going to be a gap a number of weapons used in Iran you guys didn't cover.</p> <p>In the Nuclear, it is maybe all your answers are precise about it, what is going to prevent him from raising the Nuclear issue? On the same basis he raised the Biology.</p> <p>This needs to be answer.</p>
Male 3	<p>In the Chemical and the Missiles, his move in maneuvering to create an issue shows that he is not precise and clear on these two programs; we know what is it that he doesn't know.</p> <p>His maneuvering area is very limited, even when he closed the biological file we were rest assure because his maneuvering were going to be by finding information from the Intelligence, we knew our last battle will be Biological. This we used to say in 93-94 but we are happy with the results are the biology file is closed but we are not at ease not at ease. In our technical level. Sir in the Missile area we are very much at ease.</p> <p>What you said is very precise!</p> <p>In the chemical is very little, about Iran in chemical issue; we gave them the amount of the Chemical weapons that we used, we didn't say anything against Iran, we told him we produced this much, and we agreed with him during the negotiations which we succeeded until today, and he said that he is confident that whatever Chemical Weapons that was produced, it has been destroyed or drained by the Special Commission.</p> <p>So, as wording, for political bargaining so we can avoid the issue of the process about the Iran issue.</p> <p>On the Nuclear issue, he also reached a satisfactory ending, Sir: irritation might come in this issue now or maybe a year from now or two years, but its magnitude effect and its area and output is very limited. Sir, as you said about the Biology is very precise, which means the issue is not about the 17 Tons! But he is looking for the facts on the previous program.</p> <p>I do have a comment Sir, so we can understand, how he is getting the SC's support? Which we haven't supported him on this footnote. Which he links the previous programs to the Monitoring System? He says "I can't make the monitoring system very suitable!"</p> <p>So he came up with an idea, and he sold it successfully, even though we resisted it! Which is "I can't have a suitable monitoring system, if I don't know the facts of the previous programs, knowing the facts on previous programs is the primary to establish a monitoring system. How can I monitor things? If I don't know what Iraq did? So I can monitor?"</p> <p>So he is linking.... when he fail! When the Game Plans failed in Monitoring, because we cooperated and calculated the issue? He went back to the previous programs. So Sir, his maneuvering area is very much narrowing and it really remained very narrow.</p> <p>So, if we solve the Biology radically! And we continue the trip with the</p>

	remaining small issues, I feel that his maneuvering will remain very limited
Male 1	<p>How would you solve it? The Biology? No I want to understand the general directions?</p> <p>You will submit a solution with numbers? A solution different than the previous one?</p> <p>Then the Americans will come and say, “you see, how could you insure that the information submitted on the Chemicals are consistent by the Iraqis?”</p> <p>And this will become by Ekeus, whenever he wants to inflame the Chemical issue? He will have a base to support on it, which is the Biology issue was solved on 1993? And one more time when the Iraqis where pressured? They submitted new information!!</p> <p>So it’s not going to be prevented. And it will be a base for the listener easy to believe, when Ekeus raises any new issue concerning all the programs that he has no room to maneuver.</p>