

### **ISGQ-2003-M0006893**

Male 1 God bless you all, may you celebrate this sweet Eid with happiness, you and your people and your nation & your families, filled with joy & a lot of psychological stability, and good health and strong one for the purpose of Faith Jihad and Struggle and, and to Construct. Or Construct & Jihad & Struggle & Faith, welcome comrades.

Comrades, comrade Tariq will talk to you about Ekeus and the United Nations, there was an article or a Joke, in 1963, it was only 9 months, among them were members of the regional Command, they were our old comrade three times in 9 months and their revolution was new, and every time they meet they discuss agenda Zilter and the National Guard, what is this Zilter? Was he German? The National Guard maybe had a problem with Zilter, and the revolution took place and the problem of Zilter was not solved neither the National Guard one. Comrade Tariq will talk to you about Ekeus and the United Nations.

Male 2 Sir, a big part of the picture its known to the comrades thru the media, because this subject by now is discussed widely, as we explained to the comrades, that the problem started when they asked to send an inspection team and they asked to install cameras at two sites that tests missiles. And they asked to destroy equipments that were used or it is to produce Chemical Weapon.

Male 1 They were new as you told me, but they are not used not even in producing Chemical.

Male 2 Yes Sir, We argued with them and told them “these could be used for pesticide”, they rejected that, and then your Honor agreed we shouldn’t sit on this issue. But we stood clearly and strongly in the face of the Installing the Cameras at the missile testing sites issue.

Installing the cameras as an operation means technical continuation of implementing the Monitoring System, which is to implement resolution 715. For us it wasn’t clear from the beginning and first when we received the request, when the comrades ask me “did he demand from you?” I said “No”, and then we informed the President, and we got into this known situation. We told them, we are not going to start with the future ongoing Monitoring phase, which we haven’t agreed on until now, unless within our acceptance of resolution 678.

678 has an article 10, it contains this issue but without details.

Until we solve 678 to see our limits & rights, and where does Security Council stands with its commitments according to article 22.

Male 1 What does article 22 says? Also explain it to them.

Male 2 Article 22 says: when Iraq carries out, or when the Council reaches to conclusions that Iraq carried out the wording in items 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and then the Council will look into lifting the Economic and Financial sanctions from article 22 that’s linked to the Economic and Financial sanctions,

Male 1 What about the frozen money?

Male 2 Everything Sir economic and financial, buying selling, otherwise everything will stay like before. This is about, article 22.  
These articles 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, talks about the issue of destroying the existing weapons

Male 1 Biological, Chemical

Male 2 Its equipments and what's linked to it etc. which means the whole dissolving operation, and article 10, talks about Iraq pledging to be monitored, whereas doesn't continue producing weapons...

Male 1 The things that where destroyed according to resolution

Male 2 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, are the ones that talks about destroying weapons and equipments,

Male 1 And 10 talks about monitoring Iraq so it doesn't continue

Male 2 Producing banned weapons. That Iraq must accept Monitoring System so it doesn't continue.... 715 is structured on article 10 from resolution 687, so we told them

Male 1 But it is another resolution, a resolution that is passed, and in crisis situations

Male 2 Crisis situations, yes. Sir if we explain 715 to the comrades, we explained it before, but to be repeated.  
715 have two sides: General rules side and Detailed Plans side.  
Detailed Plans, for monitoring harmonizes with article 10 completely, it is its implementations.  
We have been two years or a year and half, we know him and the plans, and we studied, and they are not very drastic plans. Means its possible with its lights and with its existence, the Iraqi industry besides the advanced military, will not be effected.  
But 715, has General rules that will control Iraq by giving the Special Commission the authority of: using any Airport, authority of using Helicopters, and using U-2 and authority to stop any car and to inspect any place.... ETC...  
And these are issues we discussed directly in the Security Council, and I talked to Ekeus twice about it, and I previously told him it is Impossible we accept this kind of conditions that will give you and the Special Commission absolute authorities on our country.  
But the subject of committing to not produce banned weapons, this is very clear, as long as we agreed on resolution 687. For sure we are not going produce weapons.  
As you know the text that we wrote on March, if you don't respect the sovereignty of Iraq and its natural right in manufacturing and developments? Iraq is ready to agree with the SC, which assures the security council that Iraq will not produce banned weapons.

We told them when the crisis aroused, we told them “what about lifting the sanctions? We completed 687 and article 22 says the economic sanctions should be lifted when these articles are met?”

According to what appeared in the Head of the Security Council’s declaration, the SC adopted the Special Commission’s opinion, which links between the two resolutions 687 and 715 they complete each other, they are not apart, and this is how it is now.

And 22 will not be looked at without Iraq commitment to 715, the most important thing in the SC is linking between 687 and 715 until now, today he is coming and we will see him and we will know deeply what he means with this position and what are the details...

Male 1 (Inaudible) bring him here (inaudible) and then bring us Cigar

Male 2 This is how it is Sir.

Male 1 Then, in the last meeting we clarified and we repeat again, when some people tell you and you tell them let them install cameras in Baghdad, explain to them that this is for evasion from their commitments toward Iraq within resolution 687, and those for sure they want to jump into a new situation without any commitment toward Iraq and its people, and this step is part of another issue within resolution 715 which we didn’t agree on, because this resolution as comrade Tariq said controls Iraq.

And the resolution came out during the highest periods of the American control of the SC, they told the UN Iraq is smuggling its equipments in trucks and we caught them and they did for the second time and with documents.... this happened in a very heated environment, we didn’t agree with it! True we didn’t announce our rejection openly, but as comrade Tariq said we fringed the resolution, truly the comrades fringed the resolution in a memorandum kept in the meeting.

And every time they come to us, and this is for 100 times, they said lets implement resolution 715, but our comrades says to them we are not doing it and sometimes they say we don’t agree on it.

They tell them lets do a statistics on Iraqi commitments within resolution 687; lets lift the sanction from our Iraqi hungry and sick people...this is the summary of the subject.

Yes Comrade Taha

Male 3 But with our announcement we are giving an impression that primarily we are agreeing on the resolution.

Male 1 I read an article in the Al-Qadisiyyah newspaper that Iraq doesn’t mind installing the cameras and this and that... it became a puzzle between the other page and the word changes...(inaudible)

Male 2 (Inaudible) we are going to discuss future monitoring! It has understandable

procedures.

They want to implement the future monitoring without discussing the Economic Sanctions. At least the file is now open

Male 1 They are commitments, and they are using the maximum they have, when they see that has no results, then they have to present the issue.

Male 2 If they lift the blockade and they install the cameras is better than going back and forth, SC started looking for linking all these issues.  
He wanted to do it in an infiltration way, means Ekeus when he sends the team he says it's OK let them install the cameras (inaudible)  
We opened the issue and now all is open for discussion.

Male 1 Comrade Taha Ma'ruf...no not Jizrawi

Male 3 As I understand when he came from some of his statements I don't know if they are right or wrong, he came carrying a resolution from the SC. The issue of implementing article 10 is part of resolution 687 before transiting to article 22, means the future monitoring, and this supposedly requires

Male 1 There is a different between principle consent and the future monitoring according to the resolution 687 and between the details of how to implement the future monitoring?  
How to implement the future monitoring? We haven't got there yet.  
Security council created a crisis and came up with a resolution, to be implemented. Maybe one comes and Iraqis will say No to future monitoring, it should be applied to all the other countries that are included the future monitoring.  
If there is Chemical in the world and there is no system? How could you ban chemicals?  
How could you apply it to the Atomic doesn't it have a system?  
They only have a system for Iraq and they tie its hand behind with resolution 687, talks about banning WMD in the region, isn't this comrade Tariq?

Male 2 Yes article 14 it exists

Male 1 Gradual is not the issue, we are not going to tell them to tell us what we are going to implement from this article, and they only come to Iraq! Israel has WMD. Iran has WMD.  
Many other countries have many missiles, what's their position on this?  
According to this article?  
You solve this problem, and discuss it with him? Why not?  
We discussed this issue in the SC and with document.  
What they want to do is, to strip us from everything, and then they will think how to deal with economic sanctions?  
Meanwhile we don't have anything but this lonely card in our hand to negotiate.

Male 3 Mr. President, what I mean by this I do expect, what's the result of Ekeus' visit and his return and what's going to happen?

Male 1 Ekeus, You remember when de Quellar on one Ramadan day went back to his post, Ekeus from the Iraqi Sunday he said first he is coming Thursday, which was very nice of him, he does it for the first time, stays this long, maybe he said Sunday or Monday.... he came Thursday a long time, after that he stated if I have an active memory in press he said he will continue four days which means 15-16-17-18, they don't have this kind of generosity,

Male 2 Usually 48 hours

Male 1 There is something says 50-50, then when he came if he really has a will to reach a solution or he came because he saw that there are people who want to open a dialogue with Iraq, but the Americans destroyed the idea. They send de Quellar to stop the idea of the dialogue with Iraq.  
There are people talking about the importance of dialogue, especially after the second hit, the second retrieve, plus we gave them the idea of logical discussion, technical to an extend of what we implemented, lets take away the word amount from our statements.  
We say we implemented the resolution they say you didn't?  
Let them come and tell us what we didn't implement?  
He wanted to merge both situations, some wanted dialogue and they chose Ekeus so no one else will be chosen, secondly he himself is a crisis man, and he went on with this technical jogging.  
Meanwhile we were wishing (as far as I know) to a bigger role for the SC in this operation, with SC directly.  
This, why I say, and without shame, tell this to our people so they know, that Ekeus according to this analysis either he is war and criminal monger or he is the peacemaker.  
And tell him to his face, we will go out from here and without a threat.  
Our people are part of a nation numbered 150 million and our people are a part of an Islamic society which sympathizes with us, and in front of human society we are going to be either war mongers or peacemakers, as follows:  
You gave this much room only, either you gave it so they can prepare their missiles and deploy everything...this you tell him exactly, or in a political cover because we want a dialogue with the SC we called it Technical Dialogue, and now you came to present two things at the same time the Technical dialogue and...  
I don't know are you guys ok???? With all capabilities we tell him a Technical Dialogue.  
Why don't we try it with the SC? (Inaudible)  
It has to be under the SC supervision.  
The Technician with them

- Male 2 A comprehensive dialogue is with the SC
- Male 1 This why we have to tell them we are still holding our right's position in this issue, if we in this time join because we gave 4 days from your time a curiosity in discussing the issues, and this discussing is going to be at the SC report. Who is going to decide this? Are you a political cover and psychological and media, and time period to have a chance for their missiles to be charged more. Experience will decide this for me, you will give a possibility for bad things, but the direct theoretical analysis gives to every worker 50-50 chances means 50%.  
 Either he came to finish it because people are tired.  
 People's talk has such a thing the Chinese talk the Moroccan talk and other countries or two countries.  
 There is bad talk like the Russians, means the British this time I saw them less bad isn't it? Means first time there is link first time link.  
 Yes Mr. Taha
- Male 3 Following the Scenario for the last two weeks, I don't see any peaceful idea because thru the media campaign and what is going around the media about the subject and the American attack, it reacted negatively against the united states president even inside the USA, and the French press and officials started I think about the cameras and the threats of an attack, before it started needs a resolution from the SC or something like that.  
 I think the Chinese and French atmosphere didn't help with this, later I saw that Ekeus is the American man in the SC.
- Male 1 He is Israel's man in the SC and he has a precise desire in to control the future, he has designs of how to destroy any base related to  
 (Inaudible)  
 (Inaudible)
- Male 3 There are details, the international press is all lies when we see the American president on TV he would say Iraq does not want the inspectors to work, this is not true, so the follow up operation is the wrong, and it must be by some officials and the Minister of Information. And some of (inaudible), the friendship, we tell them, no more friendship,
- Male 1 (Inaudible)  
 (TC: The tape blank for 90 seconds)
- Male 3 The friendship is over...
- Male 1 In the 70s, they said that we see the Barazani "lets Talk" they our people, we will keep visiting them, as you know comrades Taha used to be there, in the presence of 'Ekeus'. The other comrades never looked forward, nothing but an

army gathering, felt sorry for Barazani, there is nothing wrong with goodwill tactics. So our procedures, they asked who will lead the delegation, I said Hardan, "I know Hardan wanted to have his empire" he didn't care about the subject, but whenever he became a head of the delegation, he saved us a lot of other problems. Hardan went back and forth without tackling the problem, which is unacceptable.

Male 3 Signs of friendship,

Male 1 Yes, after Rawandus, I saw the young people the Army and the Kurdish Army (Pishmarka) playing soccer at a location where people do not frequent it –(no man's land). Also there they had a volleyball net and were playing the game. I told them that I see our people are in communication with each but it is only us have not made peace with each other. This is an Iraqi trait but I want to tell you that each issue has its own way of resolution. We the Iraqis win hearts just by greeting each other. So this is the situation.

Male 3 Yes, Mr. President, the situation is very sensitive right now, and following up our relations through friendship (inaudible)

Male 1 We friends

Male 3 I'm sorry?

Male 1 Yes.

Male 3 So at this time we do not need any thing published in the press, so the Security Council won't come to us and tell us "you said that". So Mr. President I see good intentions from that man, as he is not declaring lots of things.

Male 1 Comrades, Tarik, the foreign minister and I talked about the same subject, and agreed to pre-empt matters before he comes by talking to the ambassadors, because we do not know if he is coming to solve the problems or create more problems. We should approach him with a positive attitude so our people can feel our serious intention to resolve the problems.

Male 3 There is irresponsibility from what came on TV Mr. President (Inaudible) even our comrade's name and our commands. They are hearing all the details from the other channels,

Male 1 (Inaudible) he should've made it to Baghdad he is here?

Male 4 Ekeus is arriving tomorrow and I will meet with him twice, in the morning and in the evening.

Male 1 I will see him sometime in the evening.

Male 4 (Inaudible)

Male 1 After the meeting, make a statement after each statement he makes and keep the press involved. There is nothing wrong if we do not agree with their reasoning. The problem with Iraqis is that we always speak what is in our hearts. Therefore, let the media pre-empt his statements so that when he comes out and delivers his statement its impact on Iraqis would be fizzled. It would take him at least half an hour to state all his points. I will see him. But if Ekeus is coming with de Quellar it means he is coming with intention of war and not for talks. We will see if they will threaten with their military build up or they are seriously negotiating for a peaceful resolution. We should keep our channels open.

Male 4 The foreign minister?

Male 1 But give him guidance, nothing wrong, will... and say. We will see, he came to negotiate; he may prevent war, after some ministers will be ordered to negotiate. So negotiate or else these four days will be good reasons for people with bad intention, to deploy for an attack. So we give a reasonable time to negotiate, so we can keep all possibilities open... Pressuring him will show him that we know some things and are aware of others.

Male 4 The subject that we have discussed, did we resume?

Male 1 For personal reasons the names of the foreign minister, and the minister of information (Inaudible)

Male 5 (Inaudible)

Male 1 There is nothing the inspection team has not inspected and destroyed, but they believe we still have something to hide. For example if one of our comrades says hit Kuwait with missiles, then they will think we still have a stockpile of missiles, despite their search and findings. Tell them my brother minister, how much they have destroyed so far and what is still left. Do we still have missiles, do we have biological research, do we have chemical weapons, do we have what is called production of enriched Uranium? They destroyed every thing. So what is left? They are now telling us you must give us the names of the people the companies and the countries you used to deal with.

Male 5 They gave them more than 85%

Male 1 But we... you as members, there are some things you must remember, truthful matter, and there are some things the public opinion should not be aware of. They want to destroy the relationships we had built with nations and countries. Example, any two friends will have difference in opinions. It is not nice to talk about your friend behind his back, you shouldn't talk, and therefore one friend

will call the other to clear the air in order to maintain the friendship. Isn't this the Iraqi traditions? So people who have no traditions and self-respect should not ask us to tell them whom we are dealing with, or have dealt with. On the other side of the page, we should not make such matters public. We do not want someone passing by to greet us and then say, "traitors". "What have you done to me or done to other people. When we give a country's name, that country could come back and say we have not dealt with Iraq, and therefore we would look like we have lied. This could be used as evidence against us. Another thing, they will tell us that the quantities of raw materials you have purchased do not match the quantities in your stores. What happened with the difference? We will have to give them details and if they are not convinced they will charge us of violation. So one issue will drag another. They destroyed every thing and said "Iraq completed 95% of their commitment. We cooperated with the resolutions 100% and you all know that, and the 5% they claim we have not executed could take them ten years to accomplish. About giving them names that are something they have to dream about for moral reasons and friendship reasons. Don't think for a minute that we still have WMD, we have nothing.

Male 6 We don't need it

Male 1 Why don't we, if they would've come and attacked Baghdad, don't you think we've needed it to send one to Israel?

Male 6 (Inaudible)

Male 1 That is way the working on...

Male 6 (Inaudible)

Male 1 They are afraid of bribe. They see the command is growing and getting stronger, and the development getting better...

Male 6 I see that the first one who sees him is Mr. Tarik. When they use to come before they weren't all that with every thing that happened, but now to many resolutions were done and taking before he arrived. And its not helping, And one of the things that is not helping is that speech of Bush, he started with Iraq, and said, "I ordered the arm forces to attack Saddam, and also all the resolutions, they were to strong for the American TV. So this is mean they going to have a war on Iraq. The operation continue, Iraq is capable of going to any kind of war... maybe they have other agenda. So what I suggest that Mr. Tarik before he gets into technical matters, he goes over the various decisions they have reached so far.

Male 1 I see that Mr. Tarik is right, but I suggest, if they ask to see someone, you say we need to see the command, don't say we are authorized by the command,

don't tell them "you're going to see him tomorrow"

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 If they want to see it,

Male 7 Yes,

Male 1 They will say "how would we know what is going to be used?" for any thing we didn't agree on, so will tell them where do you want us to bring it for you to see, so will show them our good intentions

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 So they will say we want to see this place? We'll take them...

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 And will tell them we are ready to present it, but you promise that you will not have it as a target.

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 So! Installing the cameras was better than leaving them out.

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 (Inaudible) we always checked on the missile... if they are here or not

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 Iraq is Big

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 Lets hear the news and see what is going on

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 (Inaudible)

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1 This has been for a long time

Male 7 (Inaudible)

Male 1    There are two ways, either we negotiate or we don't. If we agree they can't attack us any more, but if we have no agreement, then new problematic issues will surface, and only God knows where it will lead. Let's leave it to God's will. I have advised the Council of Ministers to expect the worst probability...